RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

11/21/2008 16:01 FAX 4155760300

TOWNSEND SAN DIEGO

NOV 2 1 2008

2001/009

San Diego

Sulte 400

12730 High Bluff Drive,

San Diego, California 92130 Tel 658 350-6100

Fax 858 350-6111

TOWNSEND
and
TOWNSEND
and
CREW

San Francisco, California Tel 415 576-0200

Palo Alto, California Tel 650 326-2400

Walnut Creek, California Tel 925 472-5000

Denver, Colorado Tel 303 571-4000

Seattle, Washington Tel 206 467-9600 Washington, DC

Tel 202 481-9900

Tokyo, Japan Tel +81 3 3507-5609

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

. <u></u>	· .	
Date: November 21, 2008	Client & Matter Number: 027672-000110US	No. Pages (including this one):
To: Examiner Paul Kim Art Group Number 2161	At Fax Number: 571-273-8300	Confirmation Phone Number.
From: Thomas D. Franklin	m: Thomas D. Franklin	
Message:		
•		
Original BE SENT BY MAIL BE SE	NT BY FEDEX/OVERNIGHT COURIER BE	SENT BY MESSENGER X NOT BE SE

Faxed:

WIII:

Return to: Su Jien Steele - (26161)

If you have problems with reception please call Fax Services at extension

Importan

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or exempt from disclosure by applicable law or court order. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copyling of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

61458532 v1

2002/009

NOV 2 1 2008

PTOL-413A (10-08)

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form						
Application No.: 10/767,216 First Named Applicant: Pamela R. Lipson						
Examiner: Paul Kim	Art Unit: 2	161	Status of	Application:	Pending	
Tentative Participants: (1) Thomas D. Frankl	lin	(2) <u> </u>	Paul Kim			
(3)	(3)					
Proposed Date of Intervi	ew: November 24, 20	08	Proposed Time:	3 pm	AM/PM	
Type of Interview Requested: (1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference						
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO If yes, provide brief description:						
Issues To Be Discussed						
Issues (Rej.,Obj.,etc.)	Claims/	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(1)	Fig.#s	Art	\Box			
(2)						
(3)						
(4)				Ä		
Continuation Sheet	Attached				_	
Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:						
Further discuss claim amendments that were brought up in call with Examiner Kim on November 20, 2008.						
Thomas Typed/Printed Name of A	be completed by applicate delayed from issue because dicant is advised to file a second representative Signature D. Franklin	nt and submitte	d to the examiner in ac failure to submit a wr	itten record o view (37 CFI	of this	

61704547 v1

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 2 1 2008

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed via EFS-Web with the United States Patent and Trademark Office on	AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE –	
TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP	EXAMINING GROUP 2161	
10 WINSEND BIRD TO WINSEND BIRD CREW LLF		
_	PATENT	
Ву:	Attorney Docket No.: 027672-000110US	

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Pamela R. Lipson et al.

Application No.: 10/767,216

Filed: January 29, 2004

For: INFORMATION SEARCH AND

RETRIEVAL SYSTEM

Customer No.: 20350

Confirmation No. 2597

Examiner:

Paul Kim

Technology Center/Art Unit: 2161

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING

GROUP 2161

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed July 31, 2008 on the abovereferenced application, please enter the following amendments and remarks:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 6 of this paper.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 2 1 2008

PATENT

Appl. No. 10/767,216

Amdt. dated November 21, 2008

Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure

Examining Group 2161



Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

- 1. (Currently Amended) An image processing system for searching images on a network, the image processing system comprising:
 - (a) a search engine;
- (b) an image analyzer coupled to said search engine, said image analyzer for comparing first and second images provided thereto from said search engine, wherein:

the first image is associated with a first code associated with a first predetermined textual annotation,

the second image is associated with a second code associated with a second predetermined textual annotation,

the first code is descriptive of at least a region of the first image, the second code is descriptive of at least a region of the second image, and

the image analyzer uses <u>a matching algorithm for image</u> analysis of the first and second images along with a comparison of the first and second codes in determining if the first and second images are likely to compare favorably, wherein:

the matching algorithm comprises a plurality of image

factors, which influence the search algorithm,

the plurality of image factors are automatically

analyzed, and

the matching algorithm is automatically tailored to a subset of image factors.

Appl. No. 10/767,216
Amdt. dated November 21, 2008
Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure
Examining Group 2161

PATENT



- 2. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 1 further comprising an input system coupled to one of said search engine and said image analyzer, said input system comprising at least one of: a graphical user interface; a facsimile system, a camera system; a scanner; a network connection; and a video system.
- 3. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 21 wherein the matching algorithm defines at least one particular region of an image and at least one particular measurement to make on pixels within each of the at least one particular image region.
- 4. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 3 wherein the matching algorithm defines at least one measurement to make on one or more pixels in an image region neighboring the one particular image region.
- 5. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 1 further comprising a storage device having at least one image stored therein coupled to at least one of said a-search engine and said image analyzer.
 - 6. 20. (Canceled)
- 21. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 1 wherein said image analyzer is provided information specific to a particular application to modify a matching algorithm used in determining if the first and second images compare favorably.
- 22. (Currently Amended) An image processing system for processing images stored on a network, the image processing system comprising:

a search engine coupled to the network;

an image analyzer coupled to said search engine, wherein:

the first image is associated with a first code,

the second image is associated with a second code,

the first code is descriptive of the first image's content and is determined before matching by the image analyzer.

PATENT

Appl. No. 10/767,216 Amdt. dated November 21, 2008 Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 2161

■DRAFT

the second code is descriptive of the second image's content and is determined before matching by the image analyzer, and

the image analyzer <u>uses a matching algorithm and</u> automatically analyzes the first and second images and the first and second codes in determining if the first and second images are likely to compare favorably,

the matching algorithm comprises a plurality of image factors, which influence the search algorithm,

the plurality of image factors are automatically analyzed, and
the matching algorithm is automatically tailored to a subset of image

factors; and

an input system coupled to at least one of said search engine and said image analyzer, wherein the input system is accessible from the Internet.

- 23. (Previously Presented) The image processing system for processing images stored on the network as recited in claim 22, wherein said image analyzer is provided information specific to a particular application to modify a matching algorithm used in determining if the first and second images compare favorably.
- 24. (Previously Presented) The image processing system for processing images stored on the network as recited in claim 22, wherein said input system comprising of at least one of: a graphical user interface; a facsimile system; a camera system; a scanner; a network connection; and a video system.
 - 25. 35. (Canceled)
 - 36. (Canceled)
- 37. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 1, wherein:
 the second image is part of a plurality of images, which are associated with a
 plurality of codes,

Appl. No. 10/767,216 Amdt. dated November 21, 2008 Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 2161



PATENT

the image analyzer compares the first code and the plurality of codes to find a subset of the plurality of images that compare favorably, wherein the second image is part of the subset.

- 38. (Previously Presented) The system of Claim 1 wherein at least one of the first and second predetermined textual annotations is human determined.
- 39. (Previously Presented) The image processing system for processing images stored on the network as recited in claim 22, wherein the first code is textual and derived with manual determination.
 - 40. 68. (Canceled)

Appl. No. 10/767,216 Amdt. dated November 21, 2008 Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 2161



PATENT

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Amendments

The claims are modified in the amendment. More specifically, claim 36 have been cancelled; and new claims 1 and 22 have been added. Therefore, c.aims 1-5, 21-24, and 37-39 are present for examination. No new matter is added by these amendments. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application as amended.

Interviews After Final

Twice this week, Examiner Kim granted interviews to discuss potential claim amendments. As any interview after final is discretionary, these opportunities are truly appreciated.

35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejection, Smith et al. in view of Barber et al.

The Office Action has rejected claims 1-5 and 22-24 under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being unpatentable over the cited portions of non-patent literature document "Tools and techniques for color image retrieval" to Smith et al. (hereinafter "Smith") in view of the cited portions of U.S. Patent No. 6,804,684 to Stubler et al. (hereinafter "Stubler"). The amended claims are believed patentable over Smith and Stubler. Among other reasons, neither Smith nor Stubler teach or suggest automatically adjusting the image factors used by the search algorithm.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance and an action to that end is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 303-571-4000.

2009/009

Appl. No. 10/767,216 Amdt. dated November 21, 2008 Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 2161 **PATENT**

DRAFT

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas D. Franklin Reg. No. 43,616

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor
PAGE 9/9*RCVD AT 11/21/2008 6:04:55 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-4/17* DNIS:2738300* CSID:4155760300* DURATION (mm-ss):11-50